

# Data Centres

**“The true costs, see through the Hype”**

Keith Ford

Focuson Biz Limited

Consultant to LS Simple™



# Agenda

- Where we have come from
- Lost income in today's Data Centre
- Build in control
- “Green” versus Cost?
- Conclusion





Where we have come from



# Where we have come from

- Thirty years ago! Data Centers consisted of bulky, physically large mainframe computers.
- which user requirements were fed into the mainframes with punched cards and paper reports or magnetic tapes were produced.
- Then replaced by on-line operations in which dumb terminals; connected directly to the mainframe computers allowing the end user to access their applications or data over bulky coaxial cables.



# Where we have come from

- In the 1980s PCs allowed users to store and access their data locally.
- This was then extended to connecting the users in the department via a local area network.
- This decentralised operation caused problems for the IT departments being able to control and manage the flow of data.
- So created LANs that were connected together allowing different department users to share data and also provided the IT department with more control on the companies databases



# Where we have come from

- The next logical step was to centralise the department's storage in one area that could be locally controlled and managed by the IT department. (sounds like a mainframe!)
- Today's Data Centre has this centralised architecture with multiple servers containing the enterprises databases.
- These Data Centers are heavily involved with the networking, both locally and allowing remote access by mobile workers.
- Today's Data Centers contain not only the servers, along with their data storage devices, such as hard disks, but also the core networking, switching and routers



# Where we have come from

- The more sophisticated Data Centers also house storage area network servers and KVM
- The release of TIA/EIA 942, the Telecommunications Infrastructure for Data Centres in April 2005 provided an approach to physically configuring Data Centers of any size.
- Significantly, this included a standard for the cabling architecture infrastructure, thereby providing a guide for data center architecture implementation.





# Lost income in today's Data Centres



# Lost income in today's Data Centres

- TIA/EIA 942, ranks Data Centers by tiers as a measure of their reliability and redundancy.
- There are four tiers, the higher the tier, the more redundancy and, hence, higher costs (or ROI) associated with the Data Center.
- There are four areas to be rated as Tier 1 through to Tier 4, which include:
  - Telecommunications
  - Architectural
  - Electrical
  - Mechanical
- Each Data Center can have different tiers in each of the above areas. With multiple tier areas, the Data Center will be rated by the lowest tier area.



# Lost income in today's Data Centres

- In addition to the data processing equipment, data centres also incorporate other infrastructures including:
  - Cabling system
  - Power supply and back up
  - Cooling and other environmental equipment
  - Physical security systems
  - Network operations centre (NOC) – these centres monitor the Data Center
  - Operations, which are typically internally operated or, in some instances, are outsourced to a third party.
  - Fire and smoke systems.



# Lost income in today's Data Centres

- TIER I
  - Annual IT downtime due to site 28.8 hrs
  - Site availability 99.671%
- TIER II
  - Annual IT downtime due to site 22 hrs
  - Site availability 99.749%
- TIER III
  - Annual IT downtime due to site 1.6 hrs
  - Site availability 99.982%
- TIER IV
  - Annual IT downtime due to site 0.4 hrs
  - Site availability 99.99995%



*advancing information transport systems*

# Lost income in today's Data Centres

- People
  - Number of Direct and in-direct idle workers
- Product
  - Cost per unit at that stage in production
  - Units per hour
- Start-Up
  - Electrical surge cost, Set up, % reduced till start/stop
  - Equipment fatigue
  - Scrap produced, is it recycle able
- Bottleneck
  - List other downstream equipment, and % effected
- Expected Sales
  - Percentage effect on product out the door.



*advancing information transport systems*

# Lost income in today's Data Centres

- Brokerage operations £5.45 million / hour
- Credit card authorization £2.6 million / hour
- Package shipping services £150,000 / hour
- Home shopping channel £113,000 / hour
- Catalog sales center £90,000 / hour
- Airline reservation center £89,000 / hour
- Cellular service activation £41,000 / hour



*advancing information transport systems*

# Lost income in today's Data Centres

- A major credit card company states that they will loose £2.6m per hour for down time – this means
- TIER I
  - Annual IT downtime due to site 28.8 hrs
  - £74 million!
- TIER II
  - Annual IT downtime due to site 22 hrs
  - £57 million!
- TIER III
  - Annual IT downtime due to site 1.6 hrs
  - £4 million!
- TIER IV
  - Annual IT downtime due to site 0.4 hrs
  - £1 million!



*advancing information transport systems*



Build in control



# Build in control

- Building in control
  - How can this be done without measurement?
- How much does your Data Centre cost to run?
  - How much operational cost goes on IT activity, how much on keeping that activity running?
- How quickly can you find a problem?
  - When it happens? Or before it happens?
- What will it take to build in control
  - When the effect is too great for the business?
  - When Government makes it law?



# Build in control

- The Car
  - Allows you to take a journey from point A – to point B
  - Moves you faster than ever before
  - Uses fossil fuel - economically
  - Needs a road to drive on (most of the time)
  - And is safe (apart from human error)



*advancing information transport systems*

# Build in control

- The Car
  - Allows you to take a journey from point A – to point B
  - Moves you faster than ever before
  - Uses fossil fuel - economically
  - Needs a road to drive on (most of the time)
  - And is safe (apart from human error)



# Build in control

- The Car
  - Allows you to take a journey from point A – to point B
  - Moves you faster than ever before
  - Uses fossil fuel - economically
  - Needs a road to drive on (most of the time)
  - And is safe (apart from human error)



*advancing information transport systems*

# Build in control

- How do we know the difference?
  - We do actually move, we measure the mileage taken
  - We measure the speed of the car MPH
  - We measure fuel consumption (how many miles per gallon)
  - We have standards for faster speeds –what kind of road (Cat5e / Cat6a?)
  - We make the products safer, trying to remove human error!



# Build in control

- Data Centres have been operating with minimal measurements
- The measurements taken are at too high a level and taken at spot times
- Measuring a car's speed and efficiency helps to develop, better cars and know they are better
- For a data centre it gives the same ability – nothing new?



# Build in control

- Measurement gives a benchmark
- Giving you the ability to identify the areas of the Data Centre that are performing poorly
- Allowing you to plan for future equipment installations
- For a Data Centre you must measure continuously
- A measured and controlled Data Centre saves you money





“Green” versus Cost?



# “Green” versus Cost?

- What does this actually mean?
  - Offsetting your carbon footprint?
  - Using reusable energy?
  - Using less energy?
- It means in the commercial world:
  - Being seen to be green, has it impact on the share price
  - Being driven to compete against the technology used by their competitors
  - Being driven by a revenue stream (Carbon credits)
  - Being driven by legislation



# “Green” versus Cost?

- We are in the commercial world and so the driver at this stage of the markets development, needs to be of a commercial benefit, not just green words
- To ensure the commercial benefit, we need to use intelligent networks for both Power and Infrastructure
- This intelligence is at an additional cost, compared to the traditional dum systems
- The perception is that this is the cost for going green
- The reality is to make your company more efficient



# “Green” versus Cost?

A case study

- Approximate values and assumptions as detailed below regarding the deployment of the 100 to 2000 racks over 5 years.
- The required figure of PUE OF 1.25, was ambitious as most well run monitored DC's have a PUE of 2.0
- Facilities without monitoring power to rack can have a PUE well in excess of 3.0
- Tier III concurrently maintainable



# “Green” versus Cost?

- Information Supplied by client.
- $PUE = \text{Total Facility Power} / \text{IT Equipment Power}$ 
  - Total Facility Power is defined as the power measured at the utility meter the power dedicated solely to the Data Center
  - The IT Equipment Power is defined as the equipment that is used to manage, process, store, or route data within the Data Center
- $PUE = 1.25$  Target (DCiE value of 80%)
- $PUE = 2.0$  Achievable with a monitored solution (50%)
- $PUE = 3.5$  Current (DCiE value of 28.5%)



*advancing information transport systems*

# “Green” versus Cost?

- Electricity cost 50 to 87.4 Euro /MWhr (low cost Eastern Eur)
- Racks 100 to 2000
- Rack rating 5.3 kW to 8.3 kW

Parameter	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5	Total / Ave
No of Racks installed	100	400	500	500	500	<b>2000</b>
Ave Rack kW rating	5.3	6.5	7.5	8.3	8.3	
Energy cost Euro/MWhr	50	55	65	75	87.4	
<b>Totals</b>						
<b>Kw</b>	<b>530</b>	<b>2,600</b>	<b>3,750</b>	<b>4,150</b>	<b>4,150</b>	<b>15,180</b>
<b>cost £'s /rack/yr</b>	<b>£1,555</b>	<b>£2,098</b>	<b>£2,861</b>	<b>£3,654</b>	<b>£4,258</b>	
<b>cost £'s total racks/yr</b>	<b>£155,534</b>	<b>£839,296</b>	<b>£1,430,618</b>	<b>£1,826,789</b>	<b>£2,128,818</b>	<b>£6,381,053</b>



*advancing information transport systems*

# “Green” versus Cost?

	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5
<b>Total cost To power IT equipment</b>	<b>£155,534</b>	<b>£839,296</b>	<b>£1,430,618</b>	<b>£1,826,789</b>	<b>£2,128,818</b>
Total cost PUE 3.0 Cost with no monitoring and control	<b>£466,601</b>	<b>£2,517,887</b>	<b>£4,291,853</b>	<b>£5,480,366</b>	<b>£6,386,453</b>
Total cost PUE 2.0 Achievable cost with Monitoring deployed	<b>£311,068</b>	<b>£1,678,591</b>	<b>£2,861,235</b>	<b>£3,653,577</b>	<b>£4,257,635</b>
Total cost PUE 1.25 Targeted / optimised cost with monitoring deployed	<b>£194,417</b>	<b>£1,049,120</b>	<b>£1,788,272</b>	<b>£2,283,486</b>	<b>£2,661,022</b>



# “Green” versus Cost?

- Power saving based on a non monitored solution with a PUE of 3.0 and a targeted monitored solution with a PUE of,

	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5	Total
PUE 1.25	£272,184	£1,468,767	£2,503,581	£3,196,880	£3,725,431	£11,166,843
PUE 2.0	£155,534	£839,296	£1,430,618	£1,826,789	£2,128,818	£6,381,053

- Estimated cost for Temperature and Power Monitoring per rack for a n+1 solution £650 [Inc Hardware, Software, Installation, configuration and Commissioning]

	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3	Yr 4	Yr 5
Total Monitoring Solution Cost	£75,000	£300,000	£375,000	£375,000	£375,000



# “Green” versus Cost?

- So an ROI of 3 months per years growth – is this a good return?
- Is this the kind of return you are looking for on savings that you will see from the installation of an IPM / IIM solution?
- With today's demands on budgets, this seems to be a very easy sell to the budget holders, don't you think?



# “Green” versus Cost?

- If the answer was yes to any of the previous questions, then you maybe missing the main benefit.
- The fact that monitoring can lead to reduced costs by way of more intelligent use of server loading, UPS loading and aircon settings, it should be considered very much as a secondary benefit.
- The obvious point being that the user has to choose to act on the information. The monitoring itself does nothing to save costs.
- The intelligence needs to become part of the organisation and implemented across different functions (true integration)



# “Green” versus Cost?

- The key benefits to deploying intelligence into your network / DC are:
  - Health monitoring to maximise uptime (which should be the biggest business driver) and also to prove the integrity of the Data Centre to its users, either internal or external.
  - Data collection leading to increased uptime through the identification of critical trends.
  - Creation of a billing stream based on actual power consumption.
  - Lengthening the capacity lifetime of a Data Centre by analysing true power used as opposed to basing Data Centre life on plated values. (This is probably the best ROI indicator).



# “Green” versus Cost?

- What does this actually mean?
  - Offsetting your carbon footprint?
    - If you don't then you will be operating outside of your carbon budget
    - Operating outside of this, is a £0.04/Kw levy
    - Self regulation, before Government regulation
    - Ability to sell your surplus Carbon credits
  - Using reusable energy?
    - Very difficult to get 100%, as it would take a solar farm the size of 20 football pitches or a wind farm with 100 25m blades
  - Using less energy?
    - Not too easy, but an example an LED light on a power strip cost £0.25 / year to run (or for a 2,000 cab site = £16k)



*advancing information transport systems*

# “Green” versus Cost?

- It means in the commercial world:
  - Being seen to be green, has an impact on the share price
    - over the past three years companies with climate change risk management already in place, for the most part, out-performed companies within the same sector that didn't have policies in place (Computing 16<sup>th</sup> Nov)
  - Being driven to compete with against the technology used by their competitors
    - Increased uptime, reduce costs = more sales, more profit
  - Being driven by a revenue stream (Carbon credits)
    - Trading on the Carbon stock market
  - Being driven by legislation
    - You will do this to meet the law



# Conclusion

- Today's and tomorrow's DCs number one driver is uptime, and should almost be at any costs, depending on your industry.
- It is an oxymoron to call a Data Centre green
- Reducing carbon emissions, increases efficiency
- You can only gain efficiency if you are measuring, you need to know your starting point or what area to focus on for improvement



# Conclusion

- It is all about balance, income (reliability of Network) and cost (reducing cost of ownership – energy and life span) versus buying what you need
- Energy legislation is forcing Data Centre's to measure and more importantly control energy consumption
- The by-product of this is a more efficient Data Centre, which is good for the environment and good for business
- Confusion creates opportunity, don't be confused, be proactive and measure and control your Data Centre



# Data Centres

**“The true costs, see through the Hype”  
Questions?**

Keith Ford

Focuson Biz Limited

Consultant to LS Simple™

